1 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 OMARI TAHIR aka, JAMES C. GARRETT, individually and as Private No. 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 10 Attorney General, DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO 11 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE 12 **DEFENSES** v. 13 RONALD ENGLISH, individually and in his OFFICIAL CAPACITY as the General 14 Counsel of SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1; LARRY DORSEY, 15 Individually and in his Official Capacity as **DEMAND FOR JURY** the PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR of 16 SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1; SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOL 17 DISTRICT No. 1; DOES 1-250, 18 Defendants. 19 20 Defendants Ronald English, Seattle Public School District No. 1 and Larry Dorsey 21 answer Plaintiffs' as follows: 22 UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFICALLY ADMITTED, DEFENDANTS' DENY 23 EACH AND EVERY ALLEGATION IN PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT. 24 T. **JURISDICTION** 25 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint. 26 27 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 1 2:15-cv-01819-MJP KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, INC., P.S.

1043-00016/222349

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

800 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4141

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-3175

PHONE: (206) 823-8861

FAX: (206) 223-9423

II. PARTIES

- 2.1 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 2.1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and therefore deny the same. Defendants deny the second sentence of paragraph 2.1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 2.4 Defendants' admit that Defendant English resides in the District, was General Counsel, and acted within the course and scope of his employment. Defendants deny all remaining allegations in paragraph 2.4.
- 2.5 Defendants' admit that Defendant Dorsey resides in the District, was Public Safety Director, and acted within the course and scope of his employment. Defendants deny all remaining allegations in paragraph 2.5.
- 2.6 Defendants admit that Seattle Public School District No. 1 is a municipal corporation operating under Washington law, and deny all remaining allegations contained in paragraph 2.6.
- 2.7 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 2.7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 2.8 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 2.8 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 2.9 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 2.9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

III. FACTS

- 3.1 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 3.2 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.2 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
 - 3.3 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 2 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 1043-00016/222349

27

as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.3 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and therefore deny the same.

- 3.4 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.4 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 3.5 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.5 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 3.6 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 3.7 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.7 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3.8 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.8 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3.9 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3.10 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.10 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3.11 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.11 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3.12 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.12 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 3.13 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
 - 3.14 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.14 of Plaintiffs'

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 3 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 1043-00016/222349

1	Complaint.
2	3.15 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.15 of Plaintiffs'
3	Complaint.
4	3.16 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.16 of Plaintiffs'
5	Complaint.
6	3.17 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.17 of Plaintiffs'
7	Complaint.
8	3.18 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.18 of Plaintiffs'
9	Complaint.
10	3.19 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.19 of Plaintiffs'
11	Complaint.
12	3.20 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.20 of Plaintiffs'
13	Complaint.
14	3.21 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.21 of Plaintiffs'
15	Complaint.
16	3.22 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.22 of Plaintiffs'
17	Complaint.
18	3.23 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
19	as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and
20	therefore deny the same.
21	3.24 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.24 of Plaintiffs'
22	Complaint.
23	3.25 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.25 of Plaintiffs'
24	Complaint.
25	3.26 Defendants admit the hearing was held on December 12, 2013 at the School
26	District Headquarters, that Larry Dorsey was the hearing officer, and that he was not a
27	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 4

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 4 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 1043-00016/222349

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 5 2:15-cv-01819-MJP

1043-00016/222349

1	3.37 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.37 of Plaintiffs'
2	Complaint.
3	3.38 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.38 of Plaintiffs'
4	Complaint.
5	3.39 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.39 of Plaintiffs'
6	Complaint.
7	3.40 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
8	as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.40 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and
9	therefore deny the same.
10	3.41 Defendants' are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief
11	as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.41 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and
12	therefore deny the same.
13	3.42 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.42 of Plaintiffs'
14	Complaint.
15	3.43 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.43 of Plaintiffs'
16	Complaint.
17	3.44 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.44 of Plaintiffs'
18	Complaint.
19	3.45 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.45 of Plaintiffs'
20	Complaint.
21	3.46 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.46 of Plaintiffs'
22	Complaint.
23	3.47 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.47 of Plaintiffs'
24	Complaint.
25	3.48 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.48 of Plaintiffs'
26	Complaint.
27	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 6 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 1043-00016/222349

1	3.49 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.49 of Plaintiffs'
2	Complaint.
3	3.50 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 3.50 of Plaintiffs'
4	Complaint.
5	IV. STATEMENT OF DAMAGES
6	4.1 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 4.1 of Plaintiffs'
7	Complaint.
8	4.2 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 4.2 of Plaintiffs'
9	Complaint.
10	4.3 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 4.3 of Plaintiffs'
11	Complaint.
12	4.4 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph "3.4" [sic] of
13	Plaintiffs' Complaint.
14	4.5 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 4.5 of Plaintiffs'
15	Complaint.
16	
17	V. CAUSES OF ACTION – COUNT ONE – VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (TITLE 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983)
18	(AS TO ALL INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1-100)
19	5.1 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.1 of Plaintiffs'
20	Complaint.
21	5.2 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.2 of Plaintiffs'
22	Complaint.
23	5.3 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.3 of Plaintiffs'
24	Complaint.
25	5.4 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.4 of Plaintiffs'
26	Complaint.
27	5.5 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.5 of Plaintiffs'
	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 7 2:15-ev-01819-MJP KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, INC., P.S. 1043-00016/222349 ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	Complaint.
2	5.6 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.6 of Plaintiffs
3	Complaint.
4 5	COUNT TWO – VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (TITLE 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983) (AS TO DEFENDANTS SEATTLE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1, ENGLISH, DORSEY AND DOES 101-250)
6	5.7 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.7 of Plaintiffs
7	Complaint.
8	5.8 Defendants admit the Seattle School District employed both Mr. English and
9	Mr. Dorsey. Defendants deny all other allegations in paragraph 5.8.
10	5.9 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.9 of Plaintiffs
11	Complaint.
12	5.10 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.10 of Plaintiffs
13	Complaint.
14	5.11 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.11 of Plaintiffs
15	Complaint.
16	5.12 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.12 of Plaintiffs
17	Complaint.
18	5.13 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.13 of Plaintiffs
19	Complaint.
20 21	COUNT THREE – FALSE ARREST (AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)
	5.14 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.14 of Plaintiffs
22	Complaint.
23	5.15 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.15 of Plaintiffs
2425	Complaint.
25 26	5.16 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.16 of Plaintiffs
	Complaint.
27	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 8 2:15-cv-01819-MJP KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK, INC., P.S. 1043-00016/222349 ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	5.17	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.17 of Plaintiffs'
2	Complaint.	
3	5.18	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.18 of Plaintiffs'
4	Complaint.	
5		COUNT FOUR – FALSE IMPRISONMENT (AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)
6	5.19	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.19 of Plaintiffs'
7	Complaint.	
8	5.20	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.20 of Plaintiffs'
9	Complaint.	
10	5.21	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.21 of Plaintiffs'
11	Complaint.	
12 13	5.22	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.22 of Plaintiffs'
14	Complaint.	
15	5.23	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.23 of Plaintiffs'
16	Complaint.	
17	5.24	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.24 of Plaintiffs'
18	Complaint.	
19		COUNT FIVE – BATTERY AND ASSAULT (AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)
20	5.25	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.25 of Plaintiffs'
21	Complaint.	
22	5.26	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.26 of Plaintiffs'
23	Complaint.	
24	5.27	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.27 of Plaintiffs'
25	Complaint.	
26	5.28	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.28 of Plaintiffs'
27		S' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND E DEFENSES - 9 MJP KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK, INC., P.S.

1	Complaint.	
2	5.29	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.29 of Plaintiffs'
3	Complaint.	
4		COUNT SIX – ABUSE OF PROCESS
5	5.30	(AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS) Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.30 of Plaintiffs'
6	Complaint.	Detendants deliy an anegations contained in paragraph 3.30 of Flamtins
7	5.31	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.31 of Plaintiffs'
8	Complaint.	Detendants delly all allegations contained in paragraph 3.31 of Flaminis
9	5.32	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.32 of Plaintiffs'
10	Complaint.	
11	5.33	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.33 of Plaintiffs'
12	Complaint.	
13	5.34	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.34 of Plaintiffs'
14	Complaint.	
15	5.35	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.35 of Plaintiffs'
16 17	Complaint.	
18	COLU	NT SEVEN – WRONGFUL FORCIBLE EVICTION/DETAINER
19	CO0.	(AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)
20	5.36	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.36 of Plaintiffs'
21	Complaint.	
22	5.37	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.37 of Plaintiffs'
23	Complaint.	
24	5.38	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.38 of Plaintiffs'
25	Complaint.	
26	5.39	Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.39 of Plaintiffs'
27	Complaint. DEFENDANTS	' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND
		E DEFENSES - 10

ATTING, BUCKLIN & INCCORMACK, INC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 800 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4141 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-3175 PHONE: (206) 623-9861 FAX: (206) 223-9423

1	5.40 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.40 of Plaintiff
2	Complaint.
3	COUNT EIGHT – INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)
4	5.41 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.41 of Plaintiff
5	Complaint.
6	5.42 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.42 of Plaintiff
7	Complaint.
8	5.43 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.43 of Plaintiff
9	Complaint.
10	5.44 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.44 of Plaintiff
11	Complaint.
12	COUNT NINE – NEGLIGENCE
13	(AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)
14	5.45 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.45 of Plaintiff
15	Complaint.
16	5.46 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.46 of Plaintiff
17	Complaint.
18	5.47 Defendants deny all allegations contained in paragraph 5.47 of Plaintiff
19	Complaint. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
20	
21	BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSI
22	Defendants contend that Plaintiff's recovery is barred or must be segregated by h
23	intentional, criminal, and/or willful and wanton acts.
24	BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSI
25 26	Defendants contend that Plaintiff's recovery, if any, must be reduced by his own fault.
27	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 11

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 800 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4141 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-3175 PHONE: (206) 623-8861 FAX: (206) 223-9423

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, the
individual Defendants contend that Plaintiff's recovery is barred by the doctrine of qualified
immunity.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendants contends that Plaintiff's suit is barred by the independent determination of probable cause by the City of Seattle and its employees, which broke the chain of causation and acted as an intervening and superseding cause.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendants contend that Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, must be reduced by the acts of third parties over whom these Defendants had no control, including but not limited to the City of Seattle and its involved employees.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendants contend that Plaintiffs' recovery is barred by the doctrines of collateral estoppel and/or res judicata.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendants contend that Plaintiffs' recovery is barred by the doctrines of assumption of risk, and/or consent.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendants contend that Plaintiffs' recovery is barred by the doctrine of privilege.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, Defendants contend that Plaintiffs' claims are barred by his failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 12 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 1043-00016/222349

PHONE: (206) 623-8861 FAX: (206) 223-9423

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendant RONALD ENGLISH, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE GENERAL COUNSEL OF SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1; LARRY DORSEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR OF SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1; SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1; pray for the following relief:

- 1. That Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed with prejudice, and that Plaintiff take nothing by his complaint;
- 2. That Defendants be allowed their statutory costs and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 11, 28 USC §1927; Wash.Rev.Code §4.84.185, and the court's inherent authority; and,
 - 3. For such additional relief the Court may deem just and equitable.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. §38, Defendants respectfully demand a jury of six or more persons.

DATED this 20th day of April, 2016.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 13 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 1043-00016/222349

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 800 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4141 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-3175 PHONE: (206) 623-8861 FAX: (206) 223-9423

Case 2:15-cv-01819-MJP Document 19 Filed 04/20/16 Page 14 of 15

1	
2	KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, INC., P.S.
3	
4	By: /s/ Stewart A. Estes
5	Stewart A. Estes, WSBA #15535
6	Attorneys for Defendants Dorsey, English and Seattle Public School District
7	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4141
8	Seattle, WA 98104-3175
	Phone: (206) 623-8861 Fax: (206) 223-9423
9	Email: sestes@kbmlawyers.com
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 14 2:15-cv-01819-MJP 1043-00016/222349

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on April 20, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing with the 2 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. I further certify that I have served a true and 3 correct copy via U.S. mail to the following non-CM/ECF Plaintiff, Pro Se: 4 **Attorneys for Plaintiff, Pro Se** 5 6 Mr. Omari Tahir aka James C. Garrett Private Attorney General 7 P.O. Box 22328 Seattle, WA 98122 8 Email: 9 DATED: April 20, 2016 10 11 /s/ Stewart A. Estes 12 Stewart A. Estes, WSBA #15535 13 Attorneys for Defendants 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4141 14 Seattle, WA 98104-3175 Phone: (206) 623-8861 15 (206) 223-9423 Fax: Email: sestes@kbmlawyers.com 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND